

UNIT -5

Syntax Directed Translations

We associate information with a language construct by attaching attributes to the grammar symbol(s) representing the construct. A syntax-directed definition specifies the values of attributes by associating semantic rules with the grammar productions. For example, an infix-to-postfix translator might have a production and rule

PRODUCTION	SEMANTIC RULE
$E \rightarrow E_1 + T$	$E.code = E_1.code \parallel T.code \parallel '+'$

This production has two non terminals, E and T; the subscript in E₁ distinguishes the occurrence of E in the production body from the occurrence of E as the head. Both E and T have a string-valued attribute code. The semantic rule specifies that the string E.code is formed by concatenating E₁.code, T.code, and the character '+'. While the rule makes it explicit that the translation of E is built up from the translations of E₁, T, and '+', it may be inefficient to implement the translation directly by manipulating strings

a syntax-directed translation scheme embeds program fragments called semantic actions within production bodies

There are two notations for attaching semantic rules:

1. **Syntax Directed Definitions.** High-level specification hiding many implementation details (also called **Attribute Grammars**).
2. **Translation Schemes.** More implementation oriented: Indicate the order in which semantic rules are to be evaluated.

Syntax Directed Definitions

Syntax Directed Definitions are a generalization of context-free grammars in which:

1. Grammar symbols have an associated set of **Attributes**;
2. Productions are associated with **Semantic Rules** for computing the values of attributes
Such formalism generates **Annotated Parse-Trees** where each node of the tree is a record with a field for each attribute (e.g., X.a indicates the attribute a of the grammar symbol X).

The value of an attribute of a grammar symbol at a given parse-tree node is defined by a semantic rule associated with the production used at that node.

We distinguish between two kinds of attributes:

1. **Synthesized Attributes.** They are computed from the values of the attributes of the children nodes.
2. **Inherited Attributes.** They are computed from the values of the attributes of both the siblings and the parent node

Syntax Directed Definitions: An Example

Let us consider the Grammar for arithmetic expressions. The Syntax Directed Definition associates to each non terminal a synthesized attribute called *val*.

PRODUCTION	SEMANTIC RULE
$E \rightarrow E_1 + T$	$E.code = E_1.code \parallel T.code \parallel '+'$
$F \rightarrow (E)$	$F.val := E.val$
$F \rightarrow \text{digit}$	$F.val := \text{digit.lexval}$


SDD of a simple desk calculator

S-ATTRIBUTED DEFINITIONS

Definition. An **S-Attributed Definition** is a Syntax Directed Definition that uses only synthesized attributes.

• **Evaluation Order.** Semantic rules in a S-Attributed Definition can be evaluated by a bottom-up, or Post Order, traversal of the parse-tree.

• **Example.** The above arithmetic grammar is an example of an S-Attributed Definition. The annotated parse-tree for the input $3*5+4n$ is:



L-attributed definition

Definition: A SDD is *L-attributed* if each inherited attribute of X_i in the RHS of $A \rightarrow X_1 : \dots : X_n$ depends only on

1. attributes of $X_1; X_2; \dots; X_{i-1}$ (symbols to the left of X_i in the RHS)
2. inherited attributes of A .

Restrictions for translation schemes:

1. Inherited attribute of X_i must be computed by an action before X_i .
2. An action must not refer to synthesized attribute of any symbol to the right of that action.
3. Synthesized attribute for A can only be computed after all attributes it references have been completed (usually at end of RHS).

Evaluation order of SDTS

- 1 Dependency Graphs
- 2 Ordering the Evaluation of Attributes
- 3 S-Attributed Definitions
- 4 L-Attributed Definitions

"Dependency graphs" are a useful tool for determining an evaluation order for the attribute instances in a given parse tree. While an annotated parse tree shows the values of attributes, a dependency graph helps us determine how those values can be computed.

1 Dependency Graphs

A *dependency graph* depicts the flow of information among the attribute instances in a particular parse tree; an edge from one attribute instance to another means that the value of the first is needed to compute the second. Edges express constraints implied by the semantic rules. In more detail:

Suppose that a semantic rule associated with a production p defines the value of inherited attribute $B.c$ in terms of the value of $X.a$. Then, the dependency graph has an edge from $X.a$ to $B.c$. For each node N labeled B that corresponds to an occurrence of this B in the body of production p , create an edge to attribute c at N from the attribute a at the node M that corresponds to this occurrence of X . Note that M could be either the parent or a sibling of N .

Since a node N can have several children labeled X , we again assume that subscripts distinguish among uses of the same symbol at different places in the production.

Example: Consider the following production and rule:

PRODUCTION	SEMANTIC RULE
$E \rightarrow E_1 + T$	$E.val = E_1.val + T.val$

At every node N labeled E , with children corresponding to the body of this production, the synthesized attribute val at N is computed using the values of val at the two children, labeled E and T . Thus, a portion of the dependency graph for every parse tree in which this production is used looks like Fig. 5.6. As a convention, we shall show the parse tree edges as dotted lines, while the edges of the dependency graph are solid.




Figure 5.6: $E.val$ is synthesized from $E_1.val$ and $E_2.val$

2. Ordering the Evaluation of Attributes

The dependency graph characterizes the possible orders in which we can evaluate the attributes at the various nodes of a parse tree. If the dependency graph has an edge from node M to node N , then the attribute corresponding to M must be evaluated before the attribute of N . Thus, the only allowable orders of evaluation are those sequences of nodes $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_k$ such that if there is an edge of the dependency graph from N_i to N_j , then $i < j$. Such an ordering embeds a directed graph into a linear order, and is called a topological sort of the graph.

If there is any cycle in the graph, then there are no topological sorts; that is, there is no way to evaluate the SDD on this parse tree. If there are no cycles, however, then there is always at least one topological sort

3. S-Attributed Definitions

An SDD is *S-attributed* if every attribute is synthesized. When an SDD is S-attributed, we can evaluate its attributes in any bottom-up order of the nodes of the parse tree. It is often especially simple to evaluate the attributes by performing a post order traversal of the parse tree and evaluating the attributes at a node N when the traversal leaves N for the last time.

S-attributed definitions can be implemented during bottom-up parsing, since a bottom-up parse corresponds to a post order traversal. Specifically, post order corresponds exactly to the order in which an LR parser reduces a production body to its head.

4 L-Attributed Definitions

The idea behind this class is that, between the attributes associated with a production body, dependency-graph edges can go from left to right, but not from right to left (hence "L-attributed"). More precisely, each attribute must be either

1. Synthesized, or
2. Inherited, but with the rules limited as follows. Suppose that there is a production $A \rightarrow X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$, and that there is an inherited attribute X_i computed by a rule associated with this production.

Then the rule may use only:

Inherited attributes associated with the head A.

Either inherited or synthesized attributes associated with the occurrences of symbols $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{(i-1)}$ located to the left of X_i .

Inherited or synthesized attributes associated with this occurrence of X_i itself, but only in such a way that there are no cycles in a dependency graph formed by the attributes of this X_i

Application of SDTS

1 Construction of Syntax Trees

2 The Structure of a Type

The main application is the construction of syntax trees. Since some compilers use syntax trees as an intermediate representation, a common form of SDD turns its input string into a tree. To complete the translation to intermediate code, the compiler may then walk the syntax tree, using another set of rules that are in effect an SDD on the syntax tree rather than the parse tree.

1 Construction of Syntax Trees

Each node in a syntax tree represents a construct; the children of the node represent the meaningful components of the construct. A syntax-tree node representing an expression $E_1 + E_2$ has label $+$ and two children representing the subexpressions E_1 and E_2 .

implement the nodes of a syntax tree by objects with a suitable number of fields. Each object will have an *op* field that is the label of the node.

The objects will have additional fields as follows:

- If the node is a leaf, an additional field holds the lexical value for the leaf. A constructor function *Leaf* (*op*, *val*) creates a leaf object. Alternatively, if nodes are viewed as records, then *Leaf* returns a pointer to a new record for a leaf.
- If the node is an interior node, there are as many additional fields as the node has children in the syntax tree. A constructor function *Node* takes two or more arguments: *Node*(*op*, *c1*, *c2*, ..., *ck*) creates an object with first field *op* and *k* additional fields for the *k* children *c1*, ..., *c_k*.

Example

PRODUCTION	SEMANTIC RULES
1) $E \rightarrow E_1 + T$	$E.node = \text{new Node}('+', E_1.node, T.node)$
2) $E \rightarrow E_1 - T$	$E.node = \text{new Node}('-', E_1.node, T.node)$
3) $E \rightarrow T$	$E.node = T.node$
4) $T \rightarrow (E)$	$T.node = E.node$
5) $T \rightarrow \text{id}$	$T.node = \text{new Leaf}(\text{id}, \text{id.entry})$
6) $T \rightarrow \text{num}$	$T.node = \text{new Leaf}(\text{num}, \text{num.val})$

Figure 5.10: Constructing syntax trees for simple expressions

Figure 5.11 shows the construction of a syntax tree for the input $a - 4 + c$. The nodes of the syntax tree are shown as records, with the *op* field first. Syntax-tree edges are now shown as solid lines. The underlying parse tree, which need not actually be constructed, is shown with dotted edges. The

third type of line, shown dashed, represents the values of *E.node* and *T.node*; each line points to the appropriate syntax-tree node.




Figure 5.11: Syntax tree for $a - 4 + c$

- 1) $p_1 = \text{new Leaf}(\text{id}, \text{entry-}a);$
- 2) $p_2 = \text{new Leaf}(\text{num}, 4);$
- 3) $p_3 = \text{new Node}('-', p_1, p_2);$
- 4) $p_4 = \text{new Leaf}(\text{id}, \text{entry-}c);$
- 5) $p_5 = \text{new Node}('+', p_3, p_4);$

Figure 5.12: Steps in the construction of the syntax tree for $a - 4 + c$

2 The Structure of a Type

The type `int [2][3]` can be read as, "array of 2 arrays of 3 integers." The corresponding type expression `array(2, array(3, integer))` is represented by the tree in Fig. 5.15. The operator `array` takes two parameters, a number and a type. If types are represented by trees, then this operator returns a tree node labeled `array` with two children for a number and a type.




Figure 5.15: Type expression for `int[2][3]`

Nonterminal *B* generates one of the basic types **int** and **float**. *T* generates a basic type when *T* derives *B*. *C* and *C* derives *e*. Otherwise, *C* generates array components consisting of a sequence of integers, each integer surrounded by brackets.

PRODUCTION	SEMANTIC RULES
$T \rightarrow B C$	$T.t = C.t$ $C.b = B.t$
$B \rightarrow \text{int}$	$B.t = \text{integer}$
$B \rightarrow \text{float}$	$B.t = \text{float}$
$C \rightarrow [\text{num}] C_1$	$C.t = \text{array}(\text{num.val}, C_1.t)$ $C_1.b = C.b$
$C \rightarrow \epsilon$	$C.t = C.b$

Figure 5.16: T generates either a basic type or an array type

An annotated parse tree for the input string `int [ 2 ] [ 3 ]` is shown in Fig. 5.17. The corresponding type expression in Fig. 5.15 is constructed by passing the type *integer* from B , down the chain of C 's through the inherited attributes b . The array type is synthesized up the chain of C 's through the attributes t .

In more detail, at the root for $T \rightarrow B C$, nonterminal C inherits the type from B , using the inherited attribute $C.b$. At the rightmost node for C , the production is $C \rightarrow \epsilon$, so $C.t$ equals $C.b$. The semantic rules for the production $C \rightarrow [\text{num}] C_1$ form $C.t$ by applying the operator *array* to the operands *num.val* and $C_1.t$.




Figure 5.17: Syntax-directed translation of array types

Syntax Directed Translation Schemes.

- 1 Postfix Translation Schemes
- 2 Parser-Stack Implementation of Postfix SDT's
- 3 SDT's With Actions Inside Productions
- 4 Eliminating Left Recursion From SDT's

syntax-directed translation scheme (SDT) is a context-free grammar with program fragments embedded within production bodies. The program fragments are called *semantic actions* and can appear at any position within a production body. By convention, we place curly braces around actions; if braces are needed as grammar symbols, then we quote them. SDT's are implemented during parsing, without building a parse tree.

Two important classes of SDD's are

1. The underlying grammar is LR-parsable, and the SDD is S-attributed.
2. The underlying grammar is LL-parsable, and the SDD is L-attributed.

1 Postfix Translation Schemes

simplest SDD implementation occurs when we can parse the grammar bottom-up and the SDD is S-attributed. In that case, we can construct an SDT in which each action is placed at the end of the production and is executed along with the reduction of the body to the head of that production. SDT's with all actions at the right ends of the production bodies are called postfix SDT's.

Example 5.14 : The postfix SDT in Fig. 5.18 implements the desk calculator SDD of Fig. 5.1, with one change: the action for the first production prints a value. The remaining actions are exact counterparts of the semantic rules. Since the underlying grammar is LR, and the SDD is S-attributed, these actions can be correctly performed along with the reduction steps of the parser.

L	$\rightarrow$	$E \mathbf{n}$	$\{ \text{print}(E.val); \}$
E	$\rightarrow$	$E_1 + T$	$\{ E.val = E_1.val + T.val; \}$
E	$\rightarrow$	T	$\{ E.val = T.val; \}$
T	$\rightarrow$	$T_1 * F$	$\{ T.val = T_1.val \times F.val; \}$
T	$\rightarrow$	F	$\{ T.val = F.val; \}$
F	$\rightarrow$	(E)	$\{ F.val = E.val; \}$
F	$\rightarrow$	$\mathbf{digit}$	$\{ F.val = \mathbf{digit}.lexval; \}$

Figure 5.18: Postfix SDT implementing the desk calculator

2 Parser-Stack Implementation of Postfix SDT's

The attribute(s) of each grammar symbol can be put on the stack in a place where they can be found during the reduction. The best plan is to place the attributes along with the grammar symbols (or the LR states that represent these symbols) in records on the stack itself.

In Fig. 5.19, the parser stack contains records with a field for a grammar symbol (or parser state) and, below it, a field for an attribute. The three grammar symbols $X YZ$ are on top of the stack; perhaps they

are about to be reduced according to a production like $A \rightarrow X YZ$. Here, we show $X.x$ as the one attribute of X , and so on. In general, we can allow for more attributes, either by making the records large enough or by putting pointers to records on the stack. With small attributes, it may be simpler to make the records large enough, even if some fields go unused some of the time. However, if one or more attributes are of unbounded size — say, they are character strings — then it would be better to put a pointer to the attribute's value in the stack record and store the actual value in some larger, shared storage area that is not part of the stack.




Figure 5.19: Parser stack with a field for synthesized attributes

3 SDT's With Actions Inside Productions

An action may be placed at any position within the body of a production. It is performed immediately after all symbols to its left are processed. Thus, if we have a production $B \rightarrow X \{a\} Y$, the action a is done after we have recognized X (if X is a terminal) or all the terminals derived from X (if X is a nonterminal).

More precisely,

- If the parse is bottom-up, then we perform action a as soon as this occurrence of X appears on the top of the parsing stack.
- If the parse is top-down, we perform a just before we attempt to expand this occurrence of Y (if Y is a nonterminal) or check for Y on the input (if Y is a terminal).

4 Eliminating Left Recursion From SDT's

First, consider the simple case, in which the only thing we care about is the order in which the actions in an SDT are performed. For example, if each action simply prints a string, we care only about the order in which the strings are printed. In this case, the following principle can guide us:

When transforming the grammar, treat the actions as if they were terminal symbols.

This principle is based on the idea that the grammar transformation preserves the order of the terminals in the generated string. The actions are therefore executed in the same order in any left-to-right parse, top-down or bottom-up.

The "trick" for eliminating left recursion is to take two productions

$$A \rightarrow Aa \mid b$$

that generate strings consisting of a $b^j a^n$ and any number of a 's, and replace them by productions that generate the same strings using a new nonterminal R (for "remainder") of the first production:

$$A \rightarrow bR$$

$$R \rightarrow aR \mid \epsilon$$

If β does not begin with A , then A no longer has a left-recursive production. In regular-definition terms, with both sets of productions, A is defined by $\theta(a)^*$.

Example 5.17 : Consider the following E-productions from an SDT for translating infix expressions into postfix notation:

$E \rightarrow E \mid + T \{ \text{print}('+'); \}$

$E \rightarrow T$

If we apply the standard transformation to E , the remainder of the left-recursive production is

$a = + T \{ \text{print}('+'); \}$

and the body of the other production is T . If we introduce R for the remainder of E , we get the set of productions:

$E \rightarrow T R$

$R \rightarrow + T \{ \text{print}('+'); \} R$


$R \rightarrow \epsilon$

When the actions of an SDD compute attributes rather than merely printing output, we must be more careful about how we eliminate left recursion from a grammar. However, if the SDD is S-attributed, then we can always construct an SDT by placing attribute-computing actions at appropriate positions in the new production.

INTERMEDIATE-CODE GENERATION


In the analysis-synthesis model of a compiler, the front end analyzes a source program and creates an intermediate representation, from which the back end generates target code. This facilitates *retargeting*: enables attaching a back end for the new machine to an existing front end.

Logical Structure of a Compiler Front End



A compiler front end is organized as in figure above, where parsing, static checking, and intermediate-code generation are done sequentially; sometimes they can be combined and folded into parsing. All schemes can be implemented by creating a syntax tree and traversing the tree.

Static checking includes *type checking*, which ensures that operators are applied to compatible operands. In the process of translating a program in a given source language into code for a given target machine, a compiler constructs a sequence of intermediate representations



Sequence of intermediate representations

High-level representations are close to the source language and low-level representations are close to the target machine. A low-level representation is suitable for machine-dependent tasks like register allocation and instruction selection.

Variants of Syntax Trees

1 Directed Acyclic Graphs for Expressions

2 The Value-Number Method for Constructing DAG's

1. Directed Acyclic Graphs for Expressions

Like the syntax tree for an expression, a DAG has leaves corresponding to atomic operands and interior codes corresponding to operators. The difference is that a node N in a DAG has more than one parent if N represents a common subexpression; in a syntax tree, the tree for the common subexpression would be replicated as many times as the subexpression appears in the original expression.

Example: Consider expression

$$a + a * (b - c) + (b - c) * d$$





Figure 6.3: Dag for the expression $a + a * (b - c) + (b - c) * d$

2 The Value-Number Method for Constructing DAG's

The nodes of a syntax tree or DAG are stored in an array of records, as suggested by Fig. 6.6. Each row of the array represents one record, and therefore one node. In each record, the first field is an operation code, indicating the label of the node. In Fig. 6.6(b), leaves have one additional field, which holds the lexical value (either a symbol-table pointer or a constant, in this case), and interior nodes have two additional fields indicating the left and right children.



(a) DAG

1	id			to entry for i
2	num	10		
3	+	1	2	
4	=	1	3	
5	...			

(b) Array.

Figure 6.6: Nodes of a DAG for $i = i + 10$ allocated in an array

In this array, we refer to nodes by giving the integer index of the record for that node within the array. This integer is called the value number for the node.

Algorithm: The value-number method for constructing the nodes of a DAG.

INPUT : Label op, node l, and node r.

OUTPUT : The value number of a node in the array with signature (op, l, r).

METHOD : Search the array for a node M with label op, left child l, and right child r. If there is such a node, return the value number of M. If not, create in the array a new node N with label op, left child l, and right child r, and return its value number.

Three-Address Code

1 Addresses and Instructions

2 Quadruples

3 Triples

In three-address code, there is at most one operator on the right side of an instruction; that is, no built-up arithmetic expressions are permitted. Thus a source-language expression like $x+y*z$ might be translated into the sequence of three-address instructions

$$\begin{aligned}t_1 &= y * z \\t_2 &= x + t_1\end{aligned}$$

where t_1 and t_2 are compiler-generated temporary names.

1 Addresses and Instructions

An address can be one of the following:

- *A name.* Source-program names to appear as addresses in three-address code. In an implementation, a source name is replaced by a pointer to its symbol-table entry, where all information about the name is kept.
- *A constant.* A compiler must deal with many different types of constants and variables.
- *A compiler-generated temporary.* Useful in optimizing compilers, to create a distinct name each time a temporary is needed. These temporaries can be combined, if possible, when registers are allocated to variables.

common three-address instruction

1. Assignment Statement: $x = y \text{ op } z$ and $x = \text{op } y$

Here, x , y and z are the operands. op represents the operator.

2. Copy Statement: $x = y$

3. Conditional Jump: If $x \text{ relop } y$ goto X

If the condition “x relop y” gets **satisfied**, then-

The control is sent directly to the location specified by label X.

All the statements in between are skipped.

If the condition “x relop y” **fails**, then-

The control is not sent to the location specified by label X.

The next statement appearing in the usual sequence is executed.

4. Unconditional Jump- goto X

On executing the statement, The control is sent directly to the location specified by label X.

All the statements in between are skipped.

5. Procedure Call- param x call p return y

Here, p is a function which takes x as a parameter and returns y.

For a procedure call $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

param x_1

...

param x_n

call p, n

6. Indexed copy instructions: $x = y[i]$ and $x[i] = y$

Left: sets x to the value in the location i memory units beyond y

Right: sets the contents of the location i memory units beyond x to y

7. Address and pointer instructions:

$x = \&y$ sets the value of x to be the location (address) of y.

$x = *y$, presumably y is a pointer or temporary whose value is a location. The value of x is set to the contents of that location.

$*x = y$ sets the value of the object pointed to by x to the value of y.

Data Structure

Three address code is represented as record structure with fields for operator and operands. These records can be stored as array or linked list. Most common implementations of three address code are Quadruples, Triples and Indirect triples.

2. Quadruples

Quadruples consists of four fields in the record structure. One field to store operator op, two fields to store operands or arguments arg1 and arg2 and one field to store result res.

$res = arg1 \text{ op } arg2$

Example: $a = b + c$

b is represented as arg1, c is represented as arg2, + as op and a as res.

Unary operators like „-“,do not use agr2. Operators like param do not use agr2 nor result. For conditional and unconditional statements res is label. Arg1, arg2 and res are pointers to symbol table or literal table for the names.

Example: $a = -b * d + c + (-b) * d$

Three address code for the above statement is as follows

$t1 = -b$

$t2 = t1 * d$

$t3 = t2 + c$

$t4 = -b$

$t5 = t4 * d$

$t6 = t3 + t5$

$a = t6$

Quadruples for the above example is as follows

Op	Arg1	Arg2	Res
-	B		t1
*	t1	d	t2
+	t2	c	t3
-	B		t4
*	t4	d	t5
+	t3	t5	t6
=	t6		a

3 TRIPLES

Triples uses only three fields in the record structure. One field for operator, two fields for operands named as arg1 and arg2. Value of temporary variable can be accessed by the position of the statement the computes it and not by location as in quadruples.

Example: $a = -b * d + c + (-b) * d$

Triples for the above example is as follows

Stmt no	Op	Arg1	Arg2
(0)	-	b	
(1)	*	d	(0)
(2)	+	c	(1)
(3)	-	b	
(4)	*	d	(3)
(5)	+	(2)	(4)
(6)	=	a	(5)

Arg1 and arg2 may be pointers to symbol table for program variables or literal table for constant or pointers into triple structure for intermediate results.

Example: Triples for statement $x[i] = y$ which generates two records is as follows

Stmt no	Op	Arg1	Arg2
(0)	[]=	x	i
(1)	=	(0)	y

Triples for statement $x = y[i]$ which generates two records is as follows

Stmt no	Op	Arg1	Arg2
(0)	=[]	y	i
(1)	=	x	(0)

Triples are alternative ways for representing syntax tree or Directed acyclic graph for program defined names.

Indirect Triples

Indirect triples are used to achieve indirection in listing of pointers. That is, it uses pointers to triples than listing of triples themselves.

Example: $a = -b * d + c + (-b) * d$

	Stmt no	Stmt no	Op	Arg1	Arg2
(0)	(10)	(10)	-	b	
(1)	(11)	(11)	*	d	(0)
(2)	(12)	(12)	+	c	(1)
(3)	(13)	(13)	-	b	
(4)	(14)	(14)	*	d	(3)
(5)	(15)	(15)	+	(2)	(4)
(6)	(16)	(16)	=	a	(5)

RUNTIME ENVIRONMENT

By **runtime**, we mean a program in execution. **Runtime environment** is a state of the target machine, which may include software libraries, **environment** variables, etc., to provide services to the processes running in the system.

Storage Organization

- When the target program executes then it runs in its own logical address space in which the value of each program has a location.
- The logical address space is shared among the compiler, operating system and target machine for management and organization. The operating system is used to map the logical address into physical address which is usually spread throughout the memory.

The run-time representation of an object program in the logical address space consists of data and program areas as shown in Fig. 5.1




Figure 7.1: Typical subdivision of run-time memory into code and data areas

Storage needed for a name is determined from its type.

- Runtime storage comes into blocks, where a byte is used to show the smallest unit of addressable memory. Using the four bytes a machine word can form. Object of multibyte is stored in consecutive bytes and gives the first byte address.
- Run-time storage can be subdivide to hold the different components of an executing program:

1. Generated executable code
2. Static data objects
3. Dynamic data-object- heap
4. Automatic data objects- stack

Two areas, *Stack* and *Heap*, are at the opposite ends of the remainder of the address space. These areas are dynamic; their size can change as the program executes. *Stack* to support call/return policy for procedures. *Heap* to store data that can outlive a call to a procedure. . The heap is used to manage allocate and deallocate data.

Static Versus Dynamic Storage Allocation

The layout and allocation of data to memory locations in the run-time environment are key issues in storage management. The two terms *static* and *dynamic* distinguish between compile time and run time, respectively. We say that a storage-allocation decision is

Static:- if it can be made by the compiler looking only at the text of the program, not at what the program does when it executes.

Dynamic:- if it can be decided only while the program is running.

Compilers use following two strategies for dynamic storage allocation:

Stack storage. Names local to a procedure are allocated space on a stack. stack supports the normal call/return policy for procedures.

Heap storage. Data that may outlive the call to the procedure that created it is usually allocated on a "heap" of reusable storage. The heap is an area of virtual memory that allows objects or other data elements to obtain storage when they are created and to return that storage when they are invalidated.

Stack allocation of space

- 1 Activation Trees
- 2 Activation Records
- 3 Calling Sequences
- 4 Variable-Length Data on the Stack

Each time a procedure is called, space for its local variables is pushed onto a stack, and when the procedure terminates, that space is popped off the stack.

1 Activation Trees

Stack allocation is a valid allocation for procedures since procedure calls are nested

Example: quicksort algorithm

The main function has three tasks. It calls *readArray*, sets the sentinels, and then calls *quicksort* on the entire data array.

Procedure activations are nested in time. If an activation of procedure *p* calls procedure *q*, then that activation of *q* must end before the activation of *p* can end.

```
int a[11];
void readArray() { /* Reads 9 integers into a[1], ..., a[9]. */
    int i;
    ...
}
int partition(int m, int n) {
    /* Picks a separator value v, and partitions a[m..n] so that
       a[m..p-1] are less than v, a[p] = v, and a[p+1..n] are
       equal to or greater than v. Returns p. */
    ...
}
void quicksort(int m, int n) {
    int i;
    if (n > m) {
        i = partition(m, n);
        quicksort(m, i-1);
        quicksort(i+1, n);
    }
}
main() {
    readArray();
    a[0] = -9999;
    a[10] = 9999;
    quicksort(1,9);
}
```

Figure 7.2: Sketch of a quicksort program

Represent the activations of procedures during the running of an entire program by a tree, called an activation tree. Each node corresponds to one activation, and the root is the activation of the "main" procedure that initiates execution of the program. At a node for an activation of procedure *p*, the children correspond to activations of the procedures called by this activation of *p*.

```

enter main()
  enter readArray()
  leave readArray()
  enter quicksort(1,9)
    enter partition(1,9)
    leave partition(1,9)
    enter quicksort(1,3)
      ...
    leave quicksort(1,3)
    enter quicksort(5,9)
      ...
    leave quicksort(5,9)
  leave quicksort(1,9)
leave main()

```

Figure 7.3: Possible activations for the program of Fig. 7.2




Figure 7.4: Activation tree representing calls during an execution of *quicksort*

2 Activation Records

- Procedure calls and returns are usually managed by a run-time stack called the control stack.
- Each live activation has an activation record (sometimes called a frame)
- The root of activation tree is at the bottom of the stack
- The current execution path specifies the content of the stack with the last
- Activation has record in the top of the stack.





Figure 7.5: A general activation record

An activation record is used to store information about the status of the machine, such as the value of the program counter and machine registers, when a procedure call occurs. When control returns from the call, the activation of the calling procedure can be restarted after restoring the values of relevant registers and setting the program counter to the point immediately after the call. Data objects whose lifetimes are contained in that of an activation can be allocated on the stack along with other information associated with the activation.


An activation record contains all the necessary information required to call a procedure. An activation record may contain the following units (depending upon the source language used).

Temporaries	Stores temporary and intermediate values of an expression.
Local Data	Stores local data of the called procedure.
Machine Status	Stores machine status such as Registers, Program Counter etc., before the procedure is called.
Control Link	Stores the address of activation record of the caller procedure.
Access Link	Stores the information of data which is outside the local scope.
Actual Parameters	Stores actual parameters, i.e., parameters which are used to send input to the called procedure.


Return Value	Stores return values.
--------------	-----------------------




(a) Frame for *main*



(b) *r* is activated



(c) *r* has been popped and *q*(1,9) pushed



(d) Control returns to *q*(1,3)

Figure 7.6: Downward-growing stack of activation records

3 Calling Sequences

Designing calling sequences and the layout of activation records, the following

1. Values communicated between caller and callee are generally placed at the beginning of callee's activation record
2. Fixed-length items: are generally placed at the middle. such items typically include the control link, the access link, and the machine status fields.
3. Items whose size may not be known early enough: are placed at the end of activation record
4. We must locate the top-of-stack pointer judiciously: a common approach is to have it point to the end of fixed length fields in the activation record.




Figure 7.7: Division of tasks between caller and callee

A register *topsp* points to the end of the machine-status field in the current top activation record. This position within the callee's activation record is known to the caller, so the caller can be made responsible for setting *topsp* before control is passed to the callee. The calling sequence and its division between caller and callee is as follows:

1. The caller evaluates the actual parameters.

The caller stores a return address and the old value of *topsp* into the callee's activation record. The caller then increments *topsp* to the position shown in Fig. 7.7. That is, *topsp* is moved past the caller's local data and temporaries and the callee's parameters and status fields.

The callee saves the register values and other status information.

The callee initializes its local data and begins execution.

A suitable, corresponding **return sequence** is:

1. The callee places the return value next to the parameters, as in Fig. 7.5.
2. Using information in the machine-status field, the callee restores *topsp* and other registers, and then branches to the return address that the caller placed in the status field.
3. Although *topsp* has been decremented, the caller knows where the return value is, relative to


the current value of *topsp*; the caller therefore may use that value.

4. Variable-Length Data on the Stack

The run-time memory-management system must deal frequently with the allocation of space for objects the sizes of which are not known at compile time, but which are local to a procedure and thus may be allocated on the stack.

it is possible to allocate objects, arrays, or other structures of unknown size on the stack. The reason to prefer placing objects on the stack if possible is that we avoid the expense of garbage collecting their space. Note that the stack can be used only for an object if it is local to a procedure and becomes inaccessible when the procedure returns.

A common strategy for allocating variable-length arrays (i.e., arrays whose size depends on the value of one or more parameters of the called procedure) is shown in Fig. 7.8. The same scheme works for objects of any type if they are local to the procedure called and have a size that depends on the parameters of the call.



Access to Non Local data on the stack

- 1 Data Access Without Nested Procedures
- 2 Issues With Nested Procedures
- 3 A Language With Nested Procedure Declarations
- 4 Nesting Depth
- 5 Access Links
- 6 Manipulating Access Links
- 7 Access Links for Procedure Parameters
- 8 Displays

Consider how procedures access their data. Especially important is the mechanism for finding data used within a procedure p but that does not belong to p

1 Data Access Without Nested Procedures

Names are either local to the procedure in question or are declared globally.

1. For global names the address is known statically at compile time providing there is only one source file. If multiple source files, the linker knows. In either case no reference to the activation record is needed; the addresses are known prior to execution.
2. For names local to the current procedure, the address needed is in the AR at a known-at-compile-time constant offset from the sp. In the case of variable size arrays, the constant offset refers to a pointer to the actual storage.

2 Issues With Nested Procedures

Access becomes far more complicated when a language allows procedure declarations to be nested. The reason is that knowing at compile time that the declaration of p is immediately nested within q does not tell us the relative positions of their activation records at run time. In fact, since either p or q or both may be recursive, there may be several activation records of p and/or q on the stack.

Finding the declaration that applies to a nonlocal name x in a nested procedure p is a static decision; it can be done by an extension of the static-scope rule for blocks. Suppose x is declared in the enclosing procedure q . Finding the relevant activation of q from an activation of p is a dynamic decision; it requires additional run-time information about activations. One possible solution is to use access links.

3. A Language With Nested Procedure Declarations

In various languages with nested procedures, one of the most influential is ML.

ML is a *functional language*, meaning that variables, once declared and initialized, are not changed. There are only a few exceptions, such as the array, whose elements can be changed by special function calls.

- Variables are defined, and have their unchangeable values initialized,

`val name = (expression)`

- Functions are defined using the syntax:

`fun (name) ((arguments)) = (body)`

- For function bodies, use let-statements of the form:

`let (list of definitions) in (statements) end` The definitions are normally `val` or `fun` statements. The scope of each such definition consists of all following definitions, up to the `in`, and all the statements up to the `end`. Most importantly, function definitions can be nested. For example, the body of a function `p` can contain a `let`-statement that includes the definition of another (nested) function `q`. Similarly, `q` can have function definitions within its own body, leading to arbitrarily deep nesting of function

4. Nesting Depth

Nesting depth is 1 to procedures that are not nested within any other procedure. For example, all C functions are at nesting depth 1. However, if a procedure p is defined immediately within a procedure at nesting depth i , then give p the nesting depth i

```

1) fun sort(inputFile, outputFile) =
    let
2)     val a = array(11,0);
3)     fun readArray(inputFile) = ... ;
4)         ... a ... ;
5)     fun exchange(i,j) =
6)         ... a ... ;
7)     fun quicksort(m,n) =
        let
8)         val v = ... ;
9)         fun partition(y,z) =
10)             ... a ... v ... exchange ...
        in
11)             ... a ... v ... partition ... quicksort
        end
    in
12)         ... a ... readArray ... quicksort ...
    end;

```

Figure 7.10: A version of quicksort, in ML style, using nested functions

5. Access Links

A direct implementation of the normal static scope rule for nested functions is obtained by adding a pointer called the *access link* to each activation record. If procedure p is nested immediately within procedure q in the source code, then the access link in any activation of p points to the most recent activation of q . Note that the nesting depth of q must be exactly one less than the nesting depth of p . Access links form a chain from the activation record at the top of the stack to a sequence of activations at progressively lower nesting depths.

Figure 7.11 shows a sequence of stacks that might result from execution of the function *sort* of Fig. 7.10. In Fig. 7.11(a), we see the situation after *sort* has called *readArray* to load input into the array a and then called *quicksort*($l, 9$) to sort the array. The access link from *quicksort*($l, 9$) points to the activation record for *sort*, not because *sort* called *quicksort* but because *sort* is the most closely nested function surrounding *quicksort* in the program.




Figure 7.11: Access links for finding nonlocal data

see a recursive call to *quicksort*($l, 3$), followed by a call to *partition*, which calls *exchange*. Notice that *quicksort*($l, 3$)'s access link points to *sort*, for the same reason that *quicksort*($l, 9$)'s does.

6. Manipulating Access Links

The harder case is when the call is to a procedure-parameter; in that case, the particular procedure being called is not known until run time, and the nesting depth of the called procedure may

differ in different executions of the call. consider situation when a procedure q calls procedure p , explicitly. There are three cases:

1. Procedure p is at a higher nesting depth than q . Then p must be defined immediately within q , or the call by q would not be at a position that is within the scope of the procedure name p . Thus, the nesting depth of p is exactly one greater than that of q , and the access link from p must lead to q . It is a simple matter for the calling sequence to include a step that places in the access link for p a pointer to the activation record of q .

2. The call is recursive, that is, $p = q$. Then the access link for the new activation record is the same as that of the activation record below it.

3. The nesting depth n_p of p is less than the nesting depth n_q of q . In order for the call within q to be in the scope of name p , procedure q must be nested within some procedure r , while p is a procedure defined immediately within r . The top activation record for r can therefore be found by following the chain of access links, starting in the activation record for q , for $n_q - n_p + 1$ hops. Then, the access link for p must go to this activation of r .

7. Access Links for Procedure Parameters

When a procedure p is passed to another procedure q as a parameter, and q then calls its parameter (and therefore calls p in this activation of q), it is possible that q does not know the context in which p appears in the program. If so, it is impossible for q to know how to set the access link for p . The solution to this is, when procedures are used as parameters, the caller needs to pass, along with the name of the procedure-parameter, the proper access link for that parameter. The caller always knows the link, since if p is passed by procedure r as an actual parameter, then p must be a name accessible to r , and therefore, r can determine the access link for p exactly as if p were being called by r directly.




Figure 7.13: Actual parameters carry their access link with them

8. Displays

One problem with the access-link approach to nonlocal data is that if the nesting depth gets large, we may have to follow long chains of links to reach the data we need. A more efficient implementation uses an auxiliary array d , called the *display*, which consists of one pointer for each nesting depth. We arrange that, at all times, $d[i]$ is a pointer to the highest activation record on the stack for any procedure at nesting depth i . Examples of a display are shown in Fig. 7.14.




Figure 7.14: Maintaining the display

In order to maintain the display correctly, we need to save previous values of display entries in new activation records.

Heap Management

The heap is the portion of the store that is used for data that lives indefinitely, or until the program explicitly deletes it.

- 1 The Memory Manager
- 2 The Memory Hierarchy of a Computer
- 3 Locality in Programs
- 4 Reducing Fragmentation
- 5 Manual Deallocation Requests

1 The Memory Manager

It performs two basic functions:

- **Allocation.** When a program requests memory for a variable or object,³ the memory manager produces a chunk of contiguous heap memory of the requested size. If possible, it satisfies an allocation request using free space in the heap; if no chunk of the needed size is available, it seeks to increase the heap storage space by getting consecutive bytes of virtual memory from the operating system. If space is exhausted, the memory manager passes that information back to the application program.
- **Deallocation.** The memory manager returns deallocated space to the pool of free space, so it can reuse the space to satisfy other allocation requests. Memory managers typically do not return memory to the operating system, even if the program's heap usage drops.

Thus, the memory manager must be prepared to service, in any order, allocation and deallocation requests of any size, ranging from one byte to as large as the program's entire address space.

Here are the properties we desire of memory managers:

- **Space Efficiency.** A memory manager should minimize the total heap space needed by a program. Larger programs to run in a fixed virtual address space..
- **Program Efficiency.** A memory manager should make good use of the memory subsystem to allow programs to run faster.
- **Low Overhead.** Because memory allocations and deallocations are frequent operations in many programs, it is important that these operations be as efficient as possible. That is, we wish to minimize the *overhead*

2. The Memory Hierarchy of a Computer

The efficiency of a program is determined not just by the number of instructions executed, but also by how long it takes to execute each of these instructions. The time taken to execute an instruction can vary significantly, since the time taken to access different parts of memory can vary from

nanoseconds to milliseconds. Data-intensive programs can therefore benefit significantly from optimizations that make good use of the memory subsystem.




Figure 7.16: Typical Memory Hierarchy Configurations

3. Locality in Programs

Most programs exhibit a high degree of locality; that is, they spend most of their time executing a relatively small fraction of the code and touching only a small fraction of the data. We say that a program has *temporal locality* if the memory locations it accesses are likely to be accessed again within a short period of time. We say that a program has *spatial locality* if memory locations close to the location accessed are likely also to be accessed within a short period of time.

Programs spend 90% of their time executing 10% of the code. Programs often contain many instructions that are never executed. Programs built with components and libraries use only a small fraction of the provided functionality.

The typical program spends most of its time executing innermost loops and tight recursive cycles in a program. By placing the most common instructions and data in the fast-but-small storage, while leaving the rest in the slow-but-large storage. Average memory-access time of a program can be lowered significantly.

4. Reducing Fragmentation



To begin with the whole heap is a single chunk of size 500K bytes

After a few allocations and deallocations, there are holes

In the above picture, it is not possible to allocate 100K or 150K even though total free memory is 150K

With each deallocation request, the freed chunks of memory are added back to the pool of free space. We coalesce contiguous holes into larger holes, as the holes can only get smaller otherwise. If we are not careful, the memory may end up getting fragmented, consisting of large numbers of small, noncontiguous holes. It is then possible that no hole is large enough to satisfy a future request, even though there may be sufficient aggregate free space.

Best - Fit and Next - Fit Object Placement

We reduce fragmentation by controlling how the memory manager places new objects in the heap. It has been found empirically that a good strategy for minimizing fragmentation for real life programs is to allocate the requested memory in the smallest available hole that is large enough. This *best-fit* algorithm tends to spare the large holes to satisfy subsequent, larger requests. An alternative, called *first-fit*, where an object is placed in the first (lowest-address) hole in which it fits, takes less time to place objects, but has been found inferior to best-fit in overall performance.

To implement best-fit placement more efficiently, we can separate free space into *bins*, according to their sizes. Binning makes it easy to find the best-fit chunk.

Managing and Coalescing Free Space

When an object is deallocated manually, the memory manager must make its chunk free, so it can be allocated again. In some circumstances, it may also be possible to combine (*coalesce*) that chunk with adjacent chunks of the heap, to form a larger chunk. There is an advantage to doing so, since we can always use a large chunk to do the work of small chunks of equal total size, but many small chunks cannot hold one large object, as the combined chunk could.

Automatic garbage collection can eliminate fragmentation altogether if it moves all the allocated objects to contiguous storage.

5. Manual Deallocation Requests

In manual memory management, where the programmer must explicitly arrange for the deallocation of data, as in C and C++. Ideally, any storage that will no longer be accessed should be deleted.

Problems with Manual Deallocation

1. Memory leaks %

Failing to delete data that cannot be referenced %

Important in long running or nonstop programs ,,

2. Dangling pointer dereferencing %

Referencing deleted data ,,

Both are serious and hard to debug

UNIT - III

Syntax-Directed Translation:- (SDT)

- ⇒ Syntax directed Translation is a combination of Grammar and Semantic rules.
- ⇒ The Syntax directed Translation scheme is a context free grammar.
- ⇒ It is used to evaluate the order of Semantic rules.
- ⇒ In translation scheme, the semantic rules are embedded within the right side of the production.
- ⇒ The position at which an action is to be executed is shown by enclosed b/w braces. It is written within the right side of the production.

Example :- Production

$E' \rightarrow E\$ \rightarrow$ Augment production

$E \rightarrow E + E$

$E \rightarrow E * E$

$E \rightarrow (E)$

$E \rightarrow I$

$I \rightarrow I \text{ digit}$

$I \rightarrow \text{digit}$

Semantic Rules

$\{ \text{print } E.val \}$

$\{ E.val := E.val + E.val \}$

$\{ E.val := E.val * E.val \}$

$\{ E.val := E.val \}$

$\{ E.val := I.val \}$

$\{ I.val := 10 * I.val + \text{lex.val} \}$

$\{ I.val := \text{lex.val} \}$

Implementation of Syntax directed Translation:-

⇒ SDT is implemented by constructing a parse tree and performing the actions in a left to right depth first order.

⇒ SDT is implementing by parse the input and parse tree as result.

Example :-

Grammar

Action

$E \rightarrow E + T$

$\{ E.val := E.val + T.val \}$

$E \rightarrow T$

$\{ E.val := T.val \}$

$T \rightarrow T * F$

$\{ T.val := T.val * F.val \}$

$T \rightarrow F$

$\{ T.val := F.val \}$

$F \rightarrow \text{num}$

$\{ F.val := \text{num.val} \}$


Ex:- $1 + 2 * 3$

SDT :- Syntax-directed translation refers to a method of compiler implementation where the source language translation is completely driven by the parse.

Input.

$1 + 2 * 3$

Top-down approach from left to right



Syntax Directed definition:-

⇒ A syntax Directed definition (SDD) is a context-free grammar with attributes and rules.

⇒ Attributes are associated with grammar symbols and rules are associated with productions.

⇒ If X is a symbol and $'a'$ is one of its attributes, then we write $'X.a'$ to denote the value of $'a'$ at a particular parse-tree node labeled $'X'$.

⇒ Attributes may be of any kind: numbers, types, tables, references or strings. etc.

Attributes :- We have two types of attributes for non-terminals.

- ① Synthesized attributes → children & itself (node)
- ② Inherited attributes → parent, node, siblings.

① Synthesized attributes for a non-terminal A at a parse-tree node N is defined by a semantic rule associated with the production at N. A synthesized attribute at node N is defined only in terms of attribute values at the children of N and at N itself.

Ex: $A \rightarrow BCD$

$$A.val = B.val \text{ \& } C.val$$

(or)

$$A.val = C.val$$

(or)

$$A.val = D.val$$

② Inherited attributes:-

An inherited attribute for a non-terminal B at a parse-tree node N is defined by a semantic rule associated with the production at the parent of N . An inherited attribute at node N is defined only in terms of attribute values at N 's parent, N itself, and N siblings.

If a node takes value from its parent or siblings.

Ex:- $A \rightarrow BCD$

$$C.i = A.i$$

$$C.i = B.i$$

$$C.i = D.i$$

→ Parent node

→ sibling

→ sibling.

Types of SDD

- ① S-Attributed SDD / S-Attributed Grammar
- ② L-Attributed SDD / L-Attributed Grammar

S-Attributed SDD

- ① A SDD that uses only synthesized attributes is called as s-attributed SDD.

Ex:- $A \rightarrow BCD$

$$A.s = B.s$$

$$A.s = C.s$$

$$A.s = D.s$$

- ② Semantic Actions are always placed at right end of the production. It is also called as postfix SDD.
- ③ Attributes are evaluated with bottom-up parsing.

L-Attributed SDD

- ① A SDD that uses synthesized & Inherited attributes is called as L-attributed SDD but each Inherited Attribute ~~is~~ ^{can} restricted to Inherit only from parent or left sibling.
- Ex: $A \rightarrow XYZ \quad \{ y.val = A.val, y.val = x.val \}$
- ② Semantic Action are placed ~~at~~ any where on R.H.S (right hand side).
- ③ Attributes are evaluated by top-down parsing in left to right order.

Syntax-Directed Translation schemes:-

It is a combination of CFG (context free grammar) and semantic rules, but we can place semantic action any where in the R.H.S (right hand side) of the production.

CFG + Semantic Rule

Semantic Action :- It must be enclosed in the $\{ \}$ braces. It specifies in which order the corresponding expression will be executed.

Translation Scheme to convert Infix expression to postfix expression.

Ex: Method - (1)
 $2 + 3 * 4$

Productions

$E \rightarrow E + T$

$E \rightarrow T$

$T \rightarrow T * F$

$T \rightarrow F$

$F \rightarrow \text{num}$

Semantic rule


$\{ \text{print} ('+') \}$

$\{ \text{print} ('*') \}$

$\{ \text{print num.val} \}$

Parse Tree

$2 + 3 * 4$




o/p $\Rightarrow$ $234 * +$

Applications of Syntax-Directed Translation:-

- ① Main application of Syntax directed translation is construction of Syntax tree.
- ② Some compilers use syntax tree as intermediate representation commonly SDD turns its input to tree.
- ③ SDD is used for executing Arithmetic expressions.
- ④ It is also used in conversions from infix to postfix and infix to prefix.
- ⑤ It is also used for binary to decimal conversion.
- ⑥ It is used for storing the information into Symbol table.

Intermediate code Generation :-

It is used to translate the source code into machine code. It lies between the highlevel and machine languages.



- ⇒ If the compiler directly translates source code into machine code without generating intermediate code then a full native compiler is required for each new machine.
- ⇒ Intermediate code generator receives input from its predecessor phase and semantic Analyzer phase. It takes input in the form of an annotated syntax tree.
- ⇒ Using intermediate code, the second phase of the compiler is changed according to the target machine.

Intermediate code can be represented in two ways :-

① High level Intermediate code
⇒ It can be represented as source code.

② Low-level Intermediate code.

It is close to the target code (machine code)
It is used for machine dependent optimizations

~~Abstract~~

The different forms of Intermediate code :-

1) Abstract Syntax tree (AST)

2) Polish Notation.

3) Three address code


① Abstract Syntax tree :- (It is the simplified version of parse tree)

AST are more compact than a parse tree and can be easily used by the compiler.


Ex: $a * b + c$

From the above input string '*' is having highest priority so take '*' as root node.

parse tree



AST is represented as follows :-



Abstract Syntax trees are important data structures in a compiler. It contains the least unnecessary information.

Polish Notation :-

Polish Notation is a notation form for expressing arithmetic, logic and algebraic equations. Its most basic distinguishing feature is that operators are placed on the left of their operands.

Prefix Notation $\Rightarrow +ab$

Infix Notation $\Rightarrow a+b$

Postfix Notation $\Rightarrow ab+$

Postfix Notation:- It is also called as reverse polish notation. It is a linear representation of syntax tree.

Ex:- $x+y \Rightarrow xy+$

Ex: productions

$$E \rightarrow E_1 \text{ op } E_2$$

$$E \rightarrow (E_1)$$

$$E \rightarrow \text{id}$$

Semantic rule

$$E.\text{val} = E_1.\text{val} \mid E_2.\text{val} \mid \text{op}$$

$$E.\text{val} = E_1.\text{val}$$

$$E.\text{val} = \text{id}$$

Ex: $Z = (A - B) * (C - D) + [E + (F/G)]$

$\Rightarrow Z = AB - * CD - + [E + (F/G)]$

$Z = AB - * CD - + EFG / +$

$AB - CD - * EFG / ++$

Three Address Code :-

- * It is a Intermediate code. It is used by the optimizing compilers.
- * In Three address code, the given expression is broken into several ~~se~~ separate instructions. These instructions can easily translate into Assembly language.
- * Each Three address code instruction has at most three operands. It is a combination of assignment and binary operator.
- * In Three address code, there is at most one operator on the right side of an instruction.

Ex:- $X + Y * Z$

$t_1 = Y * Z$

$t_2 = X + t_1$

{ t_1 and t_2 are compiler generated temporary names }

Ex:- $a + a * (b - c) + d * (b - c)$

$$t_1 = b - c$$

$$t_2 = a * t_1$$

$$t_3 = a + t_2$$

$$t_4 = d * t_1$$

$$t_5 = t_3 + t_4$$

Implementation of Three Address code :-

Quadruples :-

It contains 4 fields

1) Operator

2) Source 1

3) Source 2

4) Destination. (Result)

Ex:- $a = -b * c + d$

first convert the given expression into three address code.

$$t_1 = -b$$

$$t_2 = c + d$$

$$t_3 = t_1 * t_2$$

$$a = t_3$$

Quadruples Representation

	Operator	Source 1	Source 2	Result	
(0)	U minus	b	-	t ₁	unary (unary minus)
(1)	+	c	d	t ₂	
(2)	*	t ₁	t ₂	t ₃	
(3)	=	t ₃	-	a	

- (2) Triples :- This representation doesn't make use of extra temporary variable to represent a single operation. Instead when a reference to another triple's value is needed, a pointer to that triple is used, so it consists of only three fields namely, operator, argument₁ and argument₂.

3 - fields ——— operator
source 1
source 2

Ex:- $a := -b * c + d$

TAC (Three Address code) :-
 $t_1 = -b$
 $t_2 = c + d$
 $t_3 = t_1 * t_2$
 $a := t_3$

Triplex Representation :-

	Operator	Source 1	Source 2
(0)	minus	b	-
(1)	+	c	d
(2)	*	(0)	(1)
(3)	=	(2)	-

↓
reference/pointer of source 1 i.e. t_3
from Quadruples table representation.

Types and Declarations :-

Type checking:- ^{Type checker} It is a ~~Type~~ module of a compiler. It is a process of verifying and enforcing constraints of types in values.

A compiler must check that the source program should follow the syntactic and semantic conventions of the source language and it should also check the type rules of the language.

It checks the type of objects and reports a type error in the case of a violation, and incorrect types are corrected. Whatever the compiler we use, while it is compiling the program, it has to follow the type rules of the language. Every language has its own set of type rules for the language. The information about the data types is maintained and computed by the compiler.

EX:- INTEGER, FLOAT, CHARACTER.

Conversion :- (1) Implicit :

Conversion from one type to another type is known as implicit if it is to be done automatically by the compiler. Implicit type conversions are also called coercion.

EX:- An integer may be converted to a real but real is not converted to an integer.

Explicit conversion :-

conversion is said to be explicit if the programmer writes some code to do the conversion.

Tasks of Type checker :-

- ① It has to allow "Indexing only on an arrays".
- ② It has to check the range of data types used.
- ③ INTEGER(int) has a range of -32768 to $+32767$.
- ④ Float has a range of $1.2E-38$ to $3.4E+38$.

Types of Type checking :-

- ① Static Type checking
- ② Dynamic Type checking.

Static Type checking :- It is defined as type checking is performed at compile time. It checks the type variables at compile-time, which means the type of the variable is known at the compile time.

It generally examines the program text during the translation of the program.

EX: * Type-checks

* The flow of control checks: like break, while, for ... etc

* Uniqueness checks: There are some situations in which an object must be defined only once.

Advantages of Static Type checking :-

- * Runtime error protection.
- * It catches syntactic errors. like extra punctuations.
- * It catches wrong names like predefined naming.
- * Detects incorrect argument types.
- * It catches wrong no. of arguments.

Dynamic Type checking :-

The type checking which is being done at the run-time is called as dynamic type checking. In dynamic type checking, types are associated with values, not variables.

Dynamic type checking is more flexible.

The design of the type-checker depends on :-

- ① Syntactic structure of language construct.
- ② The expressions of languages.
- ③ The rules for assigning type to constructs (semantic rules).

Control Flow :-

Control statements are the statements that change the flow of execution of statements.

Consider the Grammar:-

$S \rightarrow \text{if } E \text{ then } S1$

$\quad \quad \quad \text{[if } E \text{ then } S1 \text{ else } S2]$

$\quad \quad \quad \text{[while } E \text{ do } S1]$

In this grammar, E is the Boolean expression depending upon which $S1$ or $S2$ will be executed.

Control statements ——— Loops

if-else, if-else-if,
while loop.

Ex:

$S \rightarrow \text{if } E \text{ then } S1$

Syntax Directed Translation for "If E then $S1$ ".

production

Semantic Rule

$S \rightarrow \text{if } E \text{ then } S1$

$E.TRUE = \text{newlabel};$


$E.FALSE = S.Next;$

$S1.Next = S.Next;$

$S.Code = E.Code ||$

$GEN(E.True, '-') || S1.Code$


Ex: $S \rightarrow \text{If } E \text{ then } S_1$



Production

Ex: $\text{If}(E) \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2$

code for if-else



Semantic Rules

$E.\text{True} = \text{newLabel}()$

$S_1.\text{next} = S.\text{next}$

$E.\text{False} = \text{newLabel}()$

$S_2.\text{next} = S.\text{next}$

$S.\text{code} = E.\text{code} \parallel \text{Label}(E.\text{True}) \parallel$

$S_1.\text{code} \parallel \text{gen}(\text{goto } S.\text{next})$

$\parallel \text{Label}(E.\text{False}) \parallel$

$S_2.\text{code}.$

Switch Statement

Switch Statement Syntax

switch (E)

{

case v1: S1

case v2: S2

⋮

case ~~v_{n-1}~~: S_{n-1}

default: S_n

Code to Evaluate E into t

goto test

21: code for S1

goto next

22: code for S2

goto next

⋮

2n-1: code for S_{n-1}

⋮